

CONCEPT SHORT NAME (PI): _____

UF HEALTH CANCER
CENTER CLINICAL RESEARCH OFFICE (CRO)
I2T3 Concept Review Form

INSTRUCTIONS: This form is mandatory for all UFHCC Investigator-Initiated interventional trial concepts. To initiate the process, your concept must be reviewed and discussed at a meeting of the IIT Think Tank (I2T3) group and supported by the applicable DSG Research Leader. This form is to document review and peer-review feedback at I2T3, attain preliminary DSG leader support, and approval of a UFHCC study budget. If 12 months has elapsed between SRMC submission and the last I2T3 review, the concept must undergo a new review. Electronic signatures are preferred when possible.

1. **Principal Investigator:**
2. **Sponsoring DSG:** Choose an item.
3. **Is this a re-review of a previously presented concept at I2T3?**
 Yes - provide date this concept was first presented:
 No, this is the first time this concept has been reviewed

4. Concept Information	
4.1 Concept Title	
4.2 Hypothesis	
4.3 Primary Objective/ Endpoint	
4.4 Secondary Objectives/ Endpoints	
4.5 Exploratory Aims / Correlative Studies proposed	
4.6 Study Phase	<input type="checkbox"/> I <input type="checkbox"/> II <input type="checkbox"/> I/II <input type="checkbox"/> III <input type="checkbox"/> IV <input type="checkbox"/> Pilot <input type="checkbox"/> N/A
4.7 Has this concept been discussed with an industry partner?	<input type="checkbox"/> If Yes, please specify: <input type="checkbox"/> If No, please list some possible partnerships / sources of funding:

CONCEPT SHORT NAME (PI): _____

4.8 Study Design	<p><i>Allocation:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Randomized <input type="checkbox"/> Non-randomized <input type="checkbox"/> N/A Single arm study</p> <p><i>Intervention model:</i></p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Single group: single arm study</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Parallel: participants are assigned to one or more groups in parallel for the duration of the study</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Cross-over: participants receive one of two alternative interventions during the initial phase of the study and receive the other intervention during the second phase of the study.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Factorial: two or more interventions, each alone and in combination, are evaluated in parallel against a control group</p>
-------------------------	---

5. Background and Rationale	
5.1	Please describe the scientific rationale for this trial and what current gap in the scientific literature could be addressed with this trial?
5.2	Please describe the clinical relevance of this trial (i.e., how could results affect clinical management and/or patient experience at UFHCC)?
5.3	Insert a schematic of the proposed study flow for a subject from screening to follow-up (if space is not sufficient, attach separately and comment as such below).

CONCEPT SHORT NAME (PI): _____

6. Study Population	
6.1 Diagnosis/Targeted Patient Population	
6.2 <u>Key/Major</u> Inclusion Criteria (no need to list all)	
6.3 <u>Key/Major</u> Exclusion Criteria (no need to list all)	

7. Intervention Details (if more than two arms/cohorts, attach separately)		
Arm/Cohort Label	Intervention Administered	Comments / Details

8. Statistical Considerations	
8.1 Name of Statistician <i>*IITs must include a biostatistician</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> I need statistical support. Please set up a meeting with BQS.
8.2 What is the proposed sample size (# of subjects)?	
8.3 Sample Size Justification	
8.4 Briefly describe the data analysis plan	

CONCEPT SHORT NAME (PI): _____

9. Feasibility	
9.1 Accrual	Eligible number of patients per year seen at UF Health:
	Source of the above estimate:
	Projected accrual rate/subjects per month (<i>rule of thumb: 20% of those seen may enroll</i>):
	Anticipated duration of study (in months):
To your knowledge, are there any current UFHCC protocols that would complete with subject accrual? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes (please specify): <input type="checkbox"/> No	
9.2 UFHCC resources requested	<input type="checkbox"/> IIT Project Management (Protocol and/or consent authoring, case report form creation, study meetings, data monitoring, publication assistance, study sponsor reports, DISC submissions, initial IND/IDE submission) <input type="checkbox"/> Protocol Start Up Assistance (contracting, internal committee submissions) <input type="checkbox"/> Regulatory Management (IRB submissions, Clinicaltrials.gov management, IND/IDE management) <input type="checkbox"/> Subsite Management (budgeting, startup, regulatory maintenance, sample collection and tracking) <input type="checkbox"/> Sample Banking (including research blood and/or microbiome) <input type="checkbox"/> Clinical Trial Coordination (subject education and/or recruitment, study coordinator, data management, research lab processing) <input type="checkbox"/> Quality Assurance (monitoring, education & training) <input type="checkbox"/> Citizen Scientist Engagement throughout the life of the study (consultations, review of subject materials, recruitment/retention strategies, presentations)

10. Disease Site Group (DSG) Research Leader Endorsement of CONCEPT	
Instructions to the DSG Research Leader – Providing your signature acknowledges the above concept has been reviewed and has received your support to pursue further development within your group (NOTE: Formal DSG review and endorsement of a full protocol is still required prior to SRMC submission)	
DSG Research Leader Approval Signature and Date	

11. Citizen Scientist Feedback Feedback from I2T3 Review
Comments

CONCEPT SHORT NAME (PI): _____

12. Budget	
Are there any study procedures that are not considered standard of care (SOC) for the trial population (if unknown, that is OK, these will be confirmed by PMO)?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Not sure (PMO will assist)
If yes, please list what is not SOC, and the timepoints at which these procedures will be performed, if not listed in the schema (in 5.3):	
Is a subsite budget also requested at this time?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Budget Review Comments:	
Budget Review Signature and Date:	
Version Date of Approved Budget:	

13.0 ADCR Reviewer	
Scientific Review at I2T3 Details	
Date most recently presented at I2T3:	
Qualtrics summary (average) score (full report attached to this form): Choose an item.	
Comments (please describe any outlier scores or concerns reported by I2T3)	
Final Review by ADCR	
Comments	
Merit Score	Choose an item.
ADCR Signature	

CONCEPT SHORT NAME (PI): _____

Any notes/comments about the concept or its development should be placed below. Provide all feedback provided during review of this study and outcomes, if not captured in other areas of this form:

--